Category	Points	Criteria	Paper#												Points		
Scientific Content 55%	10	Introduction & background															
	10	Objectives or hypotheses clearly stated & concise															
	5	Title appropriate for presentation content; *judge title given at start of presentation, not what is listed in the online program*															
	10	Materials & methods (study design) clear, concise, & appropriate to problem															
	10	Interpretation of results & analysis clear, concise, & accurate															
	10	Significance of results to field of study clearly discussed															
Presentation 45%	5	Logical order; minimum redundancy															
	5	Smooth transitions between presentation slides & sections															
	5	Slides use universal design principles with appropriate fonts, font sizes, high contrast images (for example, avoding color schemes that are hard to distinguish for coloblind participants like red/green)														5		
	5	Slides have no grammatical errors & are not excessively wordy															
	15	Effective use & description of visuals (i.e. photos, diagrams, figures, and tables); *do they support the presentation narrative?*															
	5	Appropriate volume & speed of speech; clear communication															
	5	Effective use of time; *3-4 OR 10-12 minutes with appropriate balance of Introduction, Materials/Methods, Results and Discussion*															
Paper#		Presenter	Comments															